



CENTRUM STOSUNKÓW MIĘDZYNARODOWYCH  
CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

# RAPORTY I ANALIZY

## **US ELECTIONS: NATIONAL SECURITY WILL COME BACK TO THE 2008 CAMPAIGN**

**Marcin Zaborowski**

'It's rough out there' warns the cover page of the recent Economist and it was not talking about nuclear threats, Iraq or the growth of China's military power, but rather about the economy. Economic news from the US has been consistently bad for some time: dollar rapidly loses value against all international currencies, the budget and trade deficits ballooned and oil prices have been record high. Then, the US was hit by a housing downturn and in January 2008 the stock market became highly volatile. In short, at the start of 2008 the economic climate in the US is deeply worrying and the electorate is indeed concerned, as reflected in falling consumer confidence that recently declined to its lowest level in six years.

This changing climate is naturally reflected in the evolving dynamics of the Presidential race. Last December Iraq still topped the list of the electorate's concerns, but with one in three Americans worried now about their money, we are back to 'it's the economy, stupid', or are we?

According to opinion polls, there is no doubt that the economy is now the nation's top concern. Candidates from both parties, although less so on the Republican side, have responded by focusing on health care, social security and the economic stimulus package proposed by President Bush to avert the crisis. All leading candidates have naturally proposed their own alternative measures with the Republicans focusing on tax cuts and Democrats emphasising fiscal discipline. In the meantime, Iraq and other foreign policy issues have slipped down the list of campaign themes.

On the Republican side this focus on the economy strongly favours Mitt Romney – a successful businessman and a former Governor of Massachusetts. In this respect Romney outperforms his Republican rivals by a considerable margin, especially his main competitor for the nomination John McCain who admitted once that 'he had no grasp of economic issues'. More importantly, a focus on the economy has traditionally favoured Democrats on the General Election day, as demonstrated by Bill Clinton's victory in 1992. In these elections Clinton, a little known governor or provincial Arkansas, beat George H. Bush who only just emerged from the successful campaign against Saddam Hussein and his excellent management of the end of the Cold War.

History is, however, unlikely to repeat itself in this case, not least, because unlike his father, George W. Bush will be leaving behind foreign policy failures. The next President will be taking office with the US engaged in two full scale military conflicts in Afghanistan and Iraq on top of that he or she will have to deal with a resurgent Iran, an increasingly authoritarian and anti-western Russia and China's unimpeded march towards superpower status. In 1992 the electorate felt that the US could take a holiday from world affairs, it is unlikely to feel the same way in November 2008.

The Republicans, of course, will also make sure that national security returns to the campaign. This was already evident during the debate ahead of the Florida Republican primary on 25<sup>th</sup> January 2008. Whilst the candidates were unusually civil to each other, they ganged up on attacking Hilary Clinton

and especially her criticism of the Iraq war. National security is the issue where the Republicans were traditionally trusted more than Democrats since the war in Vietnam. True, this has recently changed with Democrats closing the gap on the issue for the first time in decades. Still, however, the Republicans feel safer to fight the Democrats on this than any other territory and especially the economy. The recently improving situation in Iraq is also a powerful factor in favour of bringing the issue back in. As acknowledged by some experts linked to the Democrats, the policy of 'surge' – an increase in US troops' presence by close to 30 000 – is beginning to deliver results, including the fall in military and civilian casualties, drop in the number of daily attacks and even a modest growth of the Iraqi economy.

Iraq is by far the most polarising issue for the two parties and if it comes back to dominate the campaign the choice for Americans will be pretty clear cut with all leading Republicans advocating staying in and all Democrats wanting to pull out, although, on various terms. Americans do not like the war in Iraq and most of them consider it a mistake. But even more so they do not like losing, especially after all the human and economic sacrifices that have been made.

Who offers the best way out of Iraq without declaring a defeat? On the right, John McCain certainly has gained a lot of credibility on the issue. He argued in favour of investing more troops in Iraq from very beginning and the success of the surge policy looks to many as a vindication of his position. Hillary Clinton has more credibility on national security than her rivals – she voted in favour of the war and unlike John Edwards she never apologised for her vote. Her plan for pulling out is very cautious and full of caveats. In fact, it is unlikely that should she win, there would be a rapid change of course in Iraq. Barack Obama is also rather cautious on the issue although he portrays himself as the anti-war candidate.

However, what counts in politics is perceptions and emotions. Whilst in actual policy implementation a change between a Democratic and a Republican President could be small, for the time being, the candidates for both sides use diametrically opposed rhetoric. Should Iraq come to look winnable and should the Republicans succeed in bringing it back as the main issue of the campaign they would be able to put up a decent fight in the race that till recently looked like a forgone conclusion.

*27 January 2008*

**MARCIN ZABOROWSKI is a Senior Research Fellow at the European Union Institute for Security Studies (EUISS) in Paris, where he deals with Transatlantic relations, US Foreign Policy and East Asia. He was formerly lecturer in International Relations and European Politics at Aston University in the UK from 2001 to 2005, and was Co-ordinator the Transatlantic Programme at the Centre for International Relations in Warsaw from 2002 to 2004.**

*Marcin is a co-author (with Kerry Longhurst) of 'The New Atlanticist: Poland's Foreign and Security Priorities (CSM/Chatham House Papers, London 2007).*

Book review by M. Wągrowka (in Polish):

[http://www.csm.org.pl/images/rte/File/Raporty%20i%20publikacje/Ksiazki/rec\\_zabor.pdf](http://www.csm.org.pl/images/rte/File/Raporty%20i%20publikacje/Ksiazki/rec_zabor.pdf)



CENTRUM STOSUNKÓW MIĘDZYNARODOWYCH  
CENTER FOR INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

ul. Emilii Plater 25

00-688 WARSZAWA

tel.: (+48 22) 646 52 67, 646 52 68

fax: (+48 22) 646 52 58

e-mail: [info@csm.org.pl](mailto:info@csm.org.pl)

[www.csm.org.pl](http://www.csm.org.pl)